International Journal of Sport Management, Recreation & Tourism



The quality of service experience in outdoor activities programs Konstantinos Astrapellos¹, George Costa¹ and Xanthi Astrapellou²

- Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Democritus University of Thrace
 - 2. High School of N. Erithraia

Correspondence with:

Astrapellos Kosnstantinos

kastrape@phyed.duth.gr Karolou Koun 06, Komotini,

Thrace, Greece

International Journal of Sport Management Recreation & Tourism, Vol.5, pp.77-87, 2010

© 2010 I.J.S.Ma.R.T. All rights reserved. ISSN: 1791-874X

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/ DOI: 10.5199/ijsmart-1791-874X-5d

The quality of service experience in outdoor activities programs

Abstract

In our days the outdoor activities of recreation are new and continuously increasing tendency that has usually entered in the industry of tourism and called Alternative forms of tourism. The aim of this study was to examine the experience of service of individuals after their attendance in various programs of outdoor activities and recreation that offer various private companies of recreation. Another stream of research from the general marketing field has shown that subjective, affective and experiential factors comprise a substantial portion of consumer satisfaction with services. In the research participated 273 men and women of age of 20 – 50 years, which participated in various outdoor activities in Greece that were organised by two private companies of recreation. The results of this research should extend itself in future in a bigger number of companies of recreation so as to become the desirable generalisations but also be used in the frames of processes of marketing.

Keywords: experience quality, satisfaction, outdoor activities, private companies

The quality of service experience in outdoor activities programs

Introduction

In the last decade there has been an increase in the number of private outdoor recreation companies and outdoor activities. In Greece, the interest in the programs of outdoor recreational activities began in the late of 80's. This resulted in several key events such as: a) the development of rural seed market in Greece and especially after 1980, b) the existence of special equipment and sporting equipment for use in outdoor recreational activities in the Greek retail market c) the rise of living, economic status of the Greeks and the general level of life quality for them. Even some patient efforts of the Greek National Tourism Organization (EOT) to reduce the mass tourism and move towards a new tourism development model, which are alternative forms of tourism.

The development of positive attitudes towards participation in outdoor recreational activities and particularly to adopt a lifestyle closer to nature and open environment, it seems to be one of the future goals of recreation and tourism. The individual's participation in outdoor programs and leisure activities is located in the fact that there is not a specific frequency or even participation repetition.

Albeit tourism is essentially a service industry and the importance of service quality has been highlighted, another important facet, service experience, has been relatively neglected in the tourism industry which is considerably associated with experiential components. Service experience is the subjective personal reactions and feelings that experienced by consumers when they consume a service, and thus has important influence on service consumer evaluation and satisfaction (Otto & Ritchie, 2000). Hence, a better understanding of experiential phenomena in tourism service is particularly important.

In one context, the experience has been used as a vehicle to describe the *meaning* of various leisure and tourism activities and events, also called definitional research (Mannell & Iso-Ahola, 1987). Such research refers to the phenomenology, dimensionality and characteristics of leisure pursuits (Kleiber, Larson, & Csikszentimihalyi, 1986), the risk represented by various activities (Cheron & Ritchie, 1982) and the symbolic or cognitive representation of travel (Nash & Smith, 1991).

Among other constructs, perceived quality, perceived value and satisfaction have been suggested to be three important antecedents affecting performance of tourism services and/or activities and visitors' reactions to them (Baker and Crompton, 2000; Petrick and Backman, 2002; Petrick, 2004).

As viewing tourism as a service industry, the evaluation of service quality and the more functional and technical aspects of service delivery by using traditional measures of service quality such as the SERVQUAL instrument based on a disconfirmation theory (Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry, 1994) and SERVFERF instrument based on performance-only evaluation (Cronin and Taylor, 1992) have been widely applied to evaluating services in the tourism industry. Compared to service quality, for experience quality, the measurement is subjective rather than objective, the evaluative tends to be holistic/ gestalt rather than attribute-based, the focus of evaluation is self (internal) rather than company/service provider/service environment (external), the scope is more general than specific, the nature of benefit is experiential/hedonic/symbolic rather than function/ utilitarian, and the psychological representation is affective rather than cognitive/attitudinal etc.

Otto & Ritchie (2000) develop a scale of experience quality in tourism industry context with four factors: hedonics, peace of mind, involvement and recognition using consumer survey data obtained from three tourism service sector including hotels, airlines, tours and attractions. The factor of hedonics is associated with the need to do what they love or like, to have their imaginations stirred and to be thrilled by the service activities. The factor peace of mind is focused on the need for both physical and psychological safety and comfort. The factor of involvement refers to having more to do with the process of service delivery than with outcome, the desire to have choice and control in service offering and the demand of being educated, informed and imbued with a sense of mutual cooperation. Finally, the factor of recognition is linked to feeling important and confident in being taken seriously. Notably, the significant differences in both the nature and degree of the service experience are found evident across service sectors.

Oliver (1997) suggests that service quality judgments are more specific while customer satisfaction judgments are more holistic. In addition, service quality is related to cognitive judgments and customer satisfaction is related to affective judgments. To imply holistic evaluation after a purchase, the concept of overall satisfaction is made to distinguish from satisfaction with individual attributes (Bitner and Hubert, 1994; Fornell, 1992)

Past research studies have suggested that perceptions of service quality affect feelings of satisfaction, which then affect loyalty and post-behaviors (Anderson & Sullivan, 1993; Cronin and Taylor, 1992; Fornell, 1992; Oliver, 1980; Choi and Chu 2001; Petrick and Backman, 2002; Tam 2000).

The purpose of this study was to examine the individuals' service experience, who participate in different programs of outdoor activities and recreation that some private recreation companies offer in Greece. Furthermore, this study tries to define new concepts for more development of leisure sports and outdoor activities.

Methodology

Sample

273 customers of two (2) private companies offering outdoor recreational activities and sports agreed to participate in the study. Most visitors who participated in the research filled the questionnaire after participating in some of the programs offered. The sample consisted of 143 women and 129 men aged from 18 to 50 years old. The sample majority (77,3%) was ranged from 20 to 40 years old. Moreover, for the most respondents (37%) it was the first time of participating in recreational programs. (37%).

Questionnaire

The Otto and Ritchie (1995) questionnaire for the evaluation of Service Quality was selected. It is consisted of 15 items that were designed to measure four dimensions of service quality offered in outdoor recreation activities: hedonics (6 items), piece of mind (3 items), involvement (2 items) and recognition (4 items) and four independent items: share my experience with others later, have control over the outcome, cooperation and importance. All answers could be given in a five Likert scale (1 strongly disagree to 5 strongly agree).

Process

The questionnaires were distributed to two different regions in Greece: Evinos river near Nafpactos city and Nestos river near Xanthi. The questionnaires were distributed to the participants that had participated in outdoor activities programs. Selected one from north and one from southern Greece for better reliability of the sample and because the two companies offers a variety of activities (rafting, hiking, rapel, walking, canoe – kayak, horse riding, mountain bike, archery, shooting). The researchers informed all participants that the questionnaires were to be filled in voluntary and that

research data were strictly personal. It is assumed that the responders understood the questions and responded honestly.

Results

In all, four factors with eigenvalues greater than or equal to one emerged from the data set, as given in *Table 1*. Scale reliability was calculated at 0.819 (table 2).

Table 1. Factor loading matrix.

	FACTORS						
	Hedonics	Piece of mind	Involvement	Recognition			
Doing something I really like to do	.571						
Doing something thrilling	.703						
Having a "once in a lifetime" experience	.793						
My imagination is being stirred	.798						
On an adventure	.689						
Having fun	.507						
My property is safe		.694					
Relaxed		.797					
Personal security		.780					
Involved in the process			.650				
Element of choice in the process			.755				
Taken seriously				.521			
Revisiting				.711			
Overall satisfaction from the activities				.772			
Doing same activities in the same							
company				.711			

Table 2. Scale reliability.

Factor	Cronbach's alpha			
Scale	0.677			
Factor 1: Hedonics	0.712			
Factor 2: Peace of mind	0.735			
Factor 3: Involvement	0.522			
Factor 4: Recognition	0.899			

Also, we used Analysis of Variance with one factor (One Way Anova) to determine any statistically significant differences in gender and age (table 3 and 4). Different aged

individuals were chosen to show their behavioral intentions according to their age, after their participation in different activities.

Table 3. Analysis of Variance (One Way Anova) by gender.

	Gender	N	Mean	Df	F	Sig.
	Male	112	4,06	1		
Hedonics	Female	135	4,26	245	7,74**	.006
	Total	247	4,17	246		
	Male	118	4,04	1		
Peace of mind	Female	135	3,81	251	5,10*	.025
	Total	253	3,92	252		
	Male	125	4,48	1		
Involvement	Female	141	4,31	264	5,81*	.017
	Total	266	4,39	265		
	Male	123	4,13	1		
Recognition	Female	135	4,16	256	0,15	.698
	Total	258	4,15	257		
Share my experience	Male	129	4,47	1		
with others later	Female	143	4,61	270	3,41	.066
	Total	272	4,54	271		
Have control over the	Male	123	4,27	1		
	Female	138	4,04	259	6,09*	.014
outcome	Total	261	4,15	260		
	Male	123	4,00	1		
Cooperation	Female	138	4,17	259	2,52	.113
·	Total	261	4,09	260		
	Male	115	3,64	1		
Important	Female	138	3,93	251	5,73*	.017
	Total	253	3,80	252		

^{*}The total number of participants is different, because some of them didn't response.

Table 4. Analysis of Variance (One Way Anova) by age.

	Age	N	Mean	df	F	Sig.
	Until 20	39	4,16			
	20 - 30	153	4,15	3		
Hedonics	30 - 40	43	4,25	243	0,40	.753
	40 – 50	12	4,24	246		
	Total	247	4,17			
	Until 20	39	3,84			
	20 - 30	151	3,85	3		
Peace of mind	30 - 40	44	4,15	249	2,26	.082
	40 – 50	19	4,14	252		
	Total	253	3,92			
Involvement	Until 20	40	4,30	3	2,61	.052

20 - 30							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				•			
Total 266 4,39 Until 20 39 3,97 20 30 158 4,08 3 Recognition 30 - 40 43 4,42 254 7,01*** .000 40 - 50 18 4,47 257 Total 258 4,15 Until 20 40 4,55 Share my experience with others later 272 4,54 Until 20 40 4,15 Total 272 4,54 Until 20 40 4,15 Have control over the outcome 30 - 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440 40 - 50 19 4,42 260				•	205		
Recognition 39 3,97 20 - 30 158 4,08 3 Recognition 30 - 40 43 4,42 254 7,01*** .000 40 - 50 18 4,47 257 Total 258 4,15 Until 20 40 4,55 Share my experience with others later 20 - 30 164 4,49 3 30 - 40 47 4,68 268 1,06 .367 Have control over the outcome 30 - 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440 Have control over the outcome 30 - 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440							
Recognition							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D					7 0 4 4 4 4	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Recognition					7,01^^^	.000
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Share my experience} \\ \text{with others later} \\ \\ \text{With others later} \\ \\ \text{Have control over the} \\ \text{outcome} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Until 20} \\ 20-30 \\ 30-40 \\ 40-50 \\ 21 \\ 4,57 \\ 271 \\ 4,57 \\ 271 \\ 271 \\ 4,54 \\ 20-30 \\ 30-40 \\ 40-50 \\ 19 \\ 4,42 \\ 260 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{A do } 4,55 \\ 268 \\ 1,06 \\ .367 $					257		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
Share my experience with others later 30 - 40 47 4,68 268 1,06 .367 40 - 50 21 4,57 271 Total 272 4,54 Until 20 40 4,15 Have control over the outcome 30 - 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440 40 - 50 19 4,42 260							
with others later $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Share my experience						
Have control over the outcome 40 - 50 21 4,57 271 Total 272 4,54 Until 20 40 4,15 20 - 30 157 4,12 3 30 - 40 40 - 50 19 4,42 260						1,06	.367
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	With others later				271		
Have control over the outcome $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Total		4,54			
outcome 30 – 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440 40 – 50 19 4,42 260		Until 20	40	4,15			
outcome 30 - 40 45 4,11 257 0,90 .440 40 - 50 19 4,42 260		20 - 30	157	4,12	3		
40 – 50 19 4,42 260		30 - 40	45	4,11	257	0,90	.440
Tatal 004 444		40 – 50	19	4,42	260		
10tal 261 4,14		Total	261	4,14			
Until 20 40 4,27		Until 20	40	4,27			
20 – 30 155 4,14 3		20 - 30	155	4,14	3		
Cooperation 30 – 40 45 3,80 257 2,48 .061	Cooperation	30 - 40	45	3,80	257	2,48	.061
40 – 50 21 4,00 260	•	40 – 50	21	•	260	•	
Total 261 4,09		Total	261	4,09			
Until 20 40 3,90		Until 20	40				
20 – 30 153 3,81 3			153	•	3		
Important 30 – 40 46 3,74 249 0,48 .693	Important			•		0.48	.693
40 – 50 14 3,57 252	1			•		, -	
Total 253 3,80					-		

^{*}The total number of participants is different, because some of them didn't response.

Discussion and Conclusions

The analysis showed that participants in outdoor recreational activities were mostly women, in contrast to other research that men were more (Whisman & Hollenhorst, 1998), the vast majority, 60.1% were between age 20 to 30. The majority of people surveyed (37%), participated for the first time in a program of outdoor activities offered by a private entertainment company which means that more and more people decide to participate in some outdoor activities.

Regarding gender, no statistically significant differences were found between men and women for the hedonic factor, the factor of peace of mind, the involvement factor, in the activity's control variable and the variable on the feeling confidence (significance). Finally, in terms of age significant differences were found between the ages of the participants only for the recognition factor.

The concept of quality service and service experience for participating in outdoor recreational activities includes four factors: hedonics, peace of mind, involvement and recognition that are perfectly consistent with the work of Otto and Ritchie (1996). These factors are found in the literature, followed by the perception that the concept of quality service experience is multidimensional and depend on the place which was under investigation.

Participants in recreation programs believe that the service they receive from the activities and services from entertainment companies are quite high. That is one reason to engage and push companies in more efficient use of all opportunities.

Depending on the form, outdoor recreation activities take place in an organized or independent manner in racing or non-racing format. Divided into informal (walk, free play) or specific (skiing, paragliding). Also, we can rank them according to the place where they take place: mountain, forest, air and water (lakes, rivers, sea). Mountains and the woods are used more because they offer greater diversity of recreational activities all the year. Under these new conditions, new special forms of tourism models developed in Greece.

Similarly, research into the service experience should be as real and as recent as possible; that is, interviews should be done as close to consumption of an actual (as opposed to theoretical or scenario based) service as possible, so that the evaluation remains fresh in consumers' minds and so that experiential benefits are not 'forgotten' or replaced with more cognitively accessible functional benefits. Finally, while this research has enhanced our understanding of the structure of the service experience, it is static in nature and does not lend insights into the dynamics of the service encounter. For example, the research does not indicate how consumers might trade off or weight their evaluations of different aspects of the service experience in reaching overall satisfaction.

References

Anderson, W. & Sullivan, M. (1993). The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. *Marketing Science*, 12, 125-143.

Baker, D.A. & Crompton, J.L. (2000). Quality, satisfaction and behavior intentions. Annals of Tourism Research, 27 (3), 785-804.

- Caruana, A., Money, A.H. & Berthon, P.R. (2000). Service quality and satisfaction: The moderating role of value. *European Journal of Marketing*, 34 (11/12), 1338-1352.
- Cheron, J. & Brent Ritchie. (1982). Leisure activities and perceived risk. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 14 (2), 139-154
- Choi, T.Y. & Chu, R. (2001). Determinants of hotel guests' satisfaction and repeat patronage in the Hong Kong hotel industry. *Hospitality Management*, 20, 277-297.
- Cronin, J.J. & Taylor, S.A. (1992). Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 56, 55-68.
- Cronin, J.J., Brady, M.K. & Hult, G.T.M. (2000). Assessing the effects of quality, value and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environments. *Journal of Retailing*, 76 (2), 193-218.
- Fick, G.R & Ritchie, J.R.B. (1991). Measuring service quality in the travel and tourism industry. *Journal of Travel Research*, 30 (2), 2-9.
- Formell, C. (1992). A national customer satisfaction barometer: The Swedish experience. *Journal of Marketing*, 55, 1-21.
- Kleiber, D., Larson, R. & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1986). The experience of leisure in adolescence. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 18 (3), 169-176.
- Manell, R. & Iso-Ahola. (1987). Psychological nature of the leisure and tourism experience. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 14 (2), 139-154.
- Nash, D. & Smith, V L. (1991). Anthropology and tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 18, 12-25.
- Oliver, R. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of marketing Research*, 17, 450-469.
- Otto. J., Petrick, E. & Ritchie, J. R. B. (2000). *The service experience in tourism.* In Ryan, C. and Page, S. (Eds), Tourism Management: Towards the New Millennium, Elsevier Science Ltd, Oxford, UK, 404-414.
- Parasuraman, A. Zeithaml, V.A., & Berry, L. (1995). Reassessment of expectations as a comparison Standard in Measuring service quality: Implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 58, 6-17.
- Petrick, J.F. & Beckman. (2002). An examination of the construct of perceived value for the prediction of golf travelers' intentions to revisit. *Journal of Travel Research*, 41 (4), 38-45.
- Petrick, J.F. (2004). The roles of quality, value and satisfaction in predicting cruise passengers' behavioral intentions. *Journal of Travel Research*, 42 (4), 397-407.

- Tam, J.L.M. (2000). The effects of service quality, perceived value and customer satisfaction on behavioral intentions. *Journal of Hospitality and Leisure Marketing*, 6 (4), 31-43.
- Whisman, A.S. and Hollehorst J.S. (1998). A path Model of Whitewater Boating Satisfaction on the Cheat River of West Virginia. *Environmental Management*, 22 (1), 109 117.